arXiv:math/0306381v1 [math.GR] 26 Jun 2003

Continuous cohomology and homology of profinite groups

Marco Boggi
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Roma "La Sapienza"
Piazzale Aldo Moro, 2
00185 Roma, Italy.

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Let G be a profinite group with a countable basis of neighborhoods of the identity. A cohomology and homology theory for the group G with non-discrete topological coefficients is developed, improving previous expositions of the subject (see [Wi], [R–Z] and [S-W]). Though the category of topological G-modules considered is additive but not abelian, there is a theory of derived functors. All standard properties of group cohomology and homology are then obtained rephrasing the standard proofs given in the abelian categories' setting. In this way, one gets the universal coefficients Theorem, Lyndon/Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence and Shapiro's Lemma. Another interesting feature of this theory is that it allows to rephrase and easily prove for profinite groups, all definitions and results about cohomological dimension and duality which hold for discrete groups (see Chapter VIII of [Br]).

1 Complete totally disconnected R-modules

In this and the following four sections, we are going to carry over the algebraic preliminaries needed in order to define in a natural way cohomology and homology of profinite groups with continuous coefficients. As already mentioned, the categories of coefficients considered are not abelian, so one has to state and prove again all the basic results which naturally hold in abelian categories. Often, this is done just rephrasing definitions, results and proofs given in that context.

Let R be a topological compact unitary ring, by Corollary 4.2.24 in [A-G-M], R has a basis $\{I_i\}$ of open neighborhoods of zero consisting of two-sided ideals and $R \cong \varprojlim R/I_i$, where R/I_i is a finite discrete ring, i.e. R is a profinite ring.

Since the center of a Hausdorff topological ring is closed (see Proposition 1.4.39 in [A-G-M]) and $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is compact ($\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the profinite completion of the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$), the $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra structure $\mathbb{Z} \to R$ extends naturally to a $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-algebra structure $\hat{\mathbb{Z}} \to R$. In particular, any topological (left or right) R-module has a natural structure of topological (both left and right) $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module.

Definition 1.1 Let R be a topological compact unitary ring, satisfying the first (and so the second) countability axiom.

By a *complete totally disconnected (briefly, t.d.) R-module M*, we mean a Hausdorff, complete, topological left R-module M, with a basis of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open submodules. Moreover, we require that M satisfy the second countability axiom. Unless otherwise stated, by a t.d. R-module we will always mean a *left* R-module.

It is easy to check that the module M is totally disconnected as claimed in the definition. Let $\{U_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a basis of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open submodules, then M is topologically isomorphic to the inverse limit of the discrete quotients M/U_i, with the induced topology (see Theorem 4.2.21 in [A-G-M]). Observe that the quotients M/U_i are torsion abelian groups, since they are discrete topological $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-modules. Moreover, by the countability hypothesis on M, the quotients M/U_i are countable and then metrizable by an invariant metric. Therefore, the same holds for their inverse limit M. Thus, in particular, every t.d. R-module M is a complete separable metric space.

Complete totally disconnected R-modules and continuous homomorphisms (which we call simply *maps*) form an additive category, which we denote by $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$. Indeed, as one easily checks, kernels and cokernels exist. Let $f : A \to B$ be a map of t.d. R-modules, then $\ker(f) \hookrightarrow A$ is still a map of t.d. R-modules and the cokernel of f is given by the map of t.d. R-modules $B \twoheadrightarrow B/\overline{\mathrm{Im}(f)}$.

Remark 1.1 The category $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ is not abelian, as a simple example shows. Take the direct sum $\oplus\mathbb{Z}/m$ of a countable family of groups all isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/m$, with the discrete topology, and the direct product $\prod \mathbb{Z}/m$ of the same family, with the profinite topology. There is an injective map $i : \oplus\mathbb{Z}/m \to \prod \mathbb{Z}/m$, but $\mathrm{Im}(i)$ is not closed in $\prod \mathbb{Z}/m$. Moreover, $\oplus\mathbb{Z}/m$ is not homeomorphic to $\mathrm{Im}(i)$, with the induced topology, because $\mathrm{Im}(i)$ is dense in $\prod \mathbb{Z}/m$ and then is not discrete there (see Proposition 1.4.36 in [A-G-M]).

At this point, one needs the notion of *strict map*. A map of t.d. R-modules $f : M \to N$ is strict when it induces a topological isomorphism between $M/\ker(f)$ and $\mathrm{Im}(f)$. In this case, since the quotient module $M/\ker(f)$ is complete and N is Hausdorff, it follows that $\mathrm{Im}(f)$ is a closed submodule of N. Also the converse is true. This follows from the closed graph Theorem for topological groups (see Problem R, Chapter 6, in [Ke]) and the fact that, as we remarked above, t.d. R-modules are complete, separable and metrizable.

Proposition 1.1 *A map $f : M \to N$ of t.d. R-modules is strict if and only if $\mathrm{Im}(f)$ is closed in N.*

Remark 1.2 The condition of separability is necessary and not only sufficient in order to have Proposition 1.1, as the following simple example shows. Consider the direct product $\prod \mathbb{Z}/2$ of a countable family of groups all isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2$. There is a continuous bijective homomorphism from $\prod \mathbb{Z}/2$ with the discrete topology to $\prod \mathbb{Z}/2$ with the profinite topology, which is not in the category $(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ only because $\prod \mathbb{Z}/2$ is not countable.

Remark 1.3 (i) From Proposition 1.1, it follows in particular that any surjective map of t.d. R-modules is strict and then open. More in general, a map is strict if and only if it is the composition of a surjective map and a closed emdedding. Instead, as we will see in Remark 2.2, the composition of a strict injective map and a surjective one is not in general strict.

(ii) If $M \in (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ is compact, then every map $f : M \to N$ is strict. This follows from the fact that $\mathrm{Im}(f)$ is compact and then closed in N.

Let $\{M_i, \psi_{ij}\}$ be a countable inverse system of t.d. R-modules, the inverse limit $\varprojlim M_i$, with the induced topology, is a t.d. R-module. Instead, for a countable directed system of t.d. R-modules, the existence of a direct limit in $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ is not obvious, because, in general, the algebraic direct limit is not a t.d. R-module. However, one has:

Proposition 1.2 *Let $\{M_i, \phi_{ij}\}$ be a countable directed system in the category $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$. Then the direct limit exists in the category $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$, we denote it by $\hat{\varinjlim} M_i$ and call it the completed direct limit.*

Proof. Let M be the algebraic direct limit of $\{M_i, \phi_{ij}\}$ and let us give M the topology defined taking as basis of open neighborhoods of zero the submodules U of M such that, for all the canonical maps $\phi_i : M_i \to M$, the submodule $\phi_i^{-1}(U)$ is open in M_i. In this way M becomes a topological R-module with a basis of neighborhoods of zero given by open-closed submodules. Let $U_0 := \cap U_i$, where U_i varies among all open submodules of M, and let $M' := M/U_0$. Then M' is a Hausdorff topological R-module and has a basis of neighborhoods of zero given by open-closed submodules. By Theorem 4.2.21 in [A-G-M], the inverse limit $\varprojlim M'/V$, where V ranges among open submodules of M', is the completion $\hat{M}'$ of M'. Therefore $\hat{M}' \in (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ and we let $\hat{\varinjlim} M_i := \hat{M}'$.

Let $f_i : M_i \to N$ be a system of maps of R-modules compatible with the maps ϕ_{ij}, where N is a t.d. R-module. From the very definition of the topology on M, it follows that the induced homomorphism $f : M \to N$ is continuous. Since any continuous homomorphism from M to a Hausdorff R-module factors through M', it is then induced a map $f' : M' \to N$. Since N is also complete, f' extends uniquely to a map $\hat{f} : \hat{M}' \to N$ of t.d. R-modules. □

Remark 1.4 In the sequel, we will denote by $\varinjlim M_i$ the ordinary direct limit of the directed system of modules $\{M_i, \phi_{ij}\}$ with the coinduced (or weak) topology. There is a natural continuous homomorphism $\varinjlim M_i \to \hat{\varinjlim} M_i$, which, in general, is neither injective nor surjective and not even strict.

Proposition 1.3 *Let* $\{K_\alpha\}$ *be the set of compact submodules of a t.d.* R*-module* M *directed by inclusion, then* M *is topologically isomorphic to* $\varinjlim K_\alpha$*.*

Proof. From general topology, we know that a Hausdorff space which satisfies the first axiom of countability is compactily generated. In particular, a t.d. R-module M is homeomorphic to $\varinjlim C_\alpha$, where $\{C_\alpha\}$ is the set of compact subset of M directed by inclusion. Therefore M is topologically isomorphic to $\varinjlim (R \cdot C_\alpha)$, where now $\{R \cdot C_\alpha\}$ is the set of compact (for R is compact) submodules of M. □

Let $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N)$ denote the set of t.d. R-modules maps between M and N. According to Remark 1.5.37 and Proposition 1.5.38 in [A-G-M], $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N)$ endowed with the compact-open topology, is a Hausdorff topological abelian group and has a basis of open neighborhoods of zero given by the subgroups:

$$W(K, U) := \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N) |\ f(K) \subset U\},$$

where K is a compact subset of M and U an open submodule of N.

Proposition 1.4 *Let* $M, N \in (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$*, then* $Hom_R(M, N)$ *is a Hausdorff, complete, topological* $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$*-module with a basis of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open submodules.*

Proof. It remains to show only that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is complete. Let $N \cong \varprojlim N_j$, with $\{N_j\}$ a tower of discrete t.d. R-modules, then there is a topological isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N) \cong \varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N_j).$$

So it is enough to show that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is complete when N is a discrete R-module. By Proposition 1.3, M is topologically isomorphic to the direct limit $\varinjlim K_\alpha$ of its compact submodules. There is then a topological isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N) \cong \varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}_R(K_\alpha, N).$$

Since each $\mathrm{Hom}_R(K_\alpha, N)$ is discrete and, of course, discrete spaces are complete, the proposition follows. □

Remark 1.5 From the proof of Proposition 1.4, it follows that, in order to prove that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is a t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module, it is enough to show that a t.d. R-module is the direct limit of a countable family of compact submodules. This will be done in Corollary 2.1.

2 Pontryagin duality, injectives and projectives

Definition 2.1 We say that a t.d. R-module I is relatively injective if, given a diagram in $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$

$$\begin{tikzcd} M \arrow[r, hook, "f"] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & M' \\ I, & \end{tikzcd}$$

where f is a strict injective map, there exists a map $\pi' : M' \to I$ extending the map π.

A version of Baer's Lemma holds also in the category of t.d. R-modules.

Baer's Lemma 2.1 *A t.d. R-module E is injective if and only if for every closed left ideal J of R, every map $J \to E$ can be extended to a map $R \to E$.*

Proof. The proof goes through as usual (see for instance §2.3 in [We]) with some obvious modifications. $\square$

As a first application, note that, since $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a ring with principal ideals, t.d. divisible $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-modules are injective. This is true, for instance, for the p-adic fields $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and for the torsion discrete abelian group $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 2.1 *Given $M \in (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$, let us define the Pontryagin dual of M by:*

$$M^* := Hom(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Endowed with the compact open topology, M^* is a t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module.

Proof. By Proposition 1.4, we know that, for $M \in (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$, the module $\mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is a Hausdorff, complete, topological $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module with a basis of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open submodules. Let us prove that it is actually a t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module. There is a countable tower $\{M_i, \psi_{ij}\}$ of discrete torsion abelian groups and surjective maps such that $M \cong \varprojlim M_i$. For every i, let us denote by $\psi_i : M \twoheadrightarrow M_i$ the natural map. This induces a continuous injective homomorphism

$$\psi_i^* : \mathrm{Hom}(M_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Since a map from M to a discrete module must factor through M_i for some i, there is a continuous isomorphism $\varinjlim \mathrm{Hom}(M_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ and it is not hard to check that it is open too and then a topological isomorphism. The conclusion follows because each $\mathrm{Hom}(M_i, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is a profinite abelian group, so $\mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, as the direct limit of a countable nest of t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-modules, satisfies the second countability axiom and then is a t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module. $\square$

Remark 2.1 Let $M \in (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$, one can then make of M^* a topological left R-module defining for $r \in R$ and $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$:

$$r \cdot f(m) := f(r^{-1} \cdot m) \quad \text{for all } m \in M.$$

Let us check that M^* is actually a t.d. R-module. Let K be a compact subset of M. Then $R \cdot K$ is a compact submodule of M containing K and $W(R \cdot K, 0)$ is an open R-submodule of $\mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ contained in $W(K, 0)$. Hence $\mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ has a basis of neighborhoods of zero consisting of R-submodules.

Definition 2.2 A sequence of maps of t.d. R-modules

$$\cdots \longrightarrow A_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} A_i \xrightarrow{f_i} A_{i+1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

is a *complex* if $\mathrm{Im}(f_{i-1}) \leq \ker(f_i)$ for all i. The complex is *strict* if the maps f_i are strict for all i. By Proposition 1.1, when $\mathrm{Im}(f_{i-1}) = \ker(f_i)$, the latter condition is automatically satisfied. In this case, we say that the complex (or the sequence) is *exact*. A functor is *exact* if it preserves exactness.

Since $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is relatively injective, the functor $* : (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.} \to (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$, defined by $M \mapsto M^*$, is exact. More precisely, one has:

Theorem 2.1 *The Pontryagin duality functor $*$ is exact and defines a natural equivalence between $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ and its opposite category $((R\text{-mod})^{t.d.})^\circ$ such that $*^\circ \circ * \cong id$. In particular $M^{**} \cong M$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M,N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(N^*, M^*)$, for all $M, N \in (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$.*

Proof. A t.d. R-module M is the inverse limit of a tower $\{M_i, \psi_{ij}\}$ of discrete R-modules and surjective maps. As we saw above, then $M^* \cong \varinjlim M_i^*$. The modules M_i^* are profinite and so, by Theorem 6.4.1 in [Wi], $M_i^{**} \cong M_i$. Therefore we have

$$M^{**} \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\varinjlim M_i^*, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong \varprojlim M_i^{**} \cong \varprojlim M_i = M.$$

Let $N = \varprojlim N_j$, with $\{N_j, \phi_{j,k}\}$ a tower of discrete R-modules and surjective maps. From Theorem 6.4.7 in [Wi], it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_R(M,N) &\cong \varprojlim_j (\varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}_R(M_i, N_j)) \cong \\ &\cong \varprojlim_j (\varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}_R(N_j^*, M_i^*)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(N^*, M^*). \end{aligned}$$

Eventually, the naturality of the functor $*$ is obvious. □

Corollary 2.1 *(i) Let $M \in (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$, then there is a countable nest $\{K_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of compact submodules of M such that $M \cong \varinjlim_i K_i$.*

(ii) Let $M, N \in (R\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$, then $Hom_R(M, N) \in (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, $M \cong P^*$ for some $P \in (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$. The t.d. R-module P is the inverse limit of a countable tower $\{P_i, \psi_{ij}\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of discrete R-modules and surjective maps, hence $\{P_i^*, \psi_{ij}^*\}_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a countable nest of compact submodules of M and $M \cong \varinjlim P_i^*$.

By Remark 1.5, the second item of the corollary follows as well. □

Corollary 2.2 *Let $f : A \to C$ and $g : B \to C$ be strict maps of t.d. R-modules. Then the induced map $f + g : A \oplus B \to C$ is strict.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, it is enough to prove that $(f + g)^* : C^* \to (A \oplus B)^*$ is strict. Since $(A \oplus B)^* \cong A^* \oplus B^*$,

$$(f + g)^* \cong (f^*, g^*) : C^* \to A^* \oplus B^*.$$

Therefore the corollary follows from the fact that both f^* and g^* are strict. □

A very important consequence of Corollary 2.1 is that strict maps of t.d. R-modules topologically are split:

Proposition 2.2 *(i) A surjective map $f : M \to N$ of t.d. R-modules is strict and has a continuous section.*

(ii) An injective map $i : M \hookrightarrow N$ of t.d. R-modules is strict if and only if it has a continuous left inverse.

Proof. (i): by Corollary 2.1, there is a countable nest $\{K_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of compact submodules of M such that $M \cong \varinjlim K_i$. Since a surjective map $f : M \to N$ of t.d. R-modules is open, then one has also a topological isomorphism $N \cong \varinjlim f(K_i)$. Since both K_i and $f(K_i)$ are profinite abelian groups, from Proposition 1.3.4 in [Wi], it follows that one can find a set of continuous sections $\{s_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the maps $\{f|_{K_i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that s_{i+1} extends s_i. Then $s := \varinjlim s_i$ is a continuous section of f.

(ii): for a strict injective map $i : M \to N$, let $\{K_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a countable nest of compact submodules of N such that $N \cong \varinjlim K_j$, topologically. Then one has also a topological isomorphism $M \cong \varinjlim i^{-1}(K_j)$ and one can proceed as above.

On the other hand, it is clear that an injective map $i : M \hookrightarrow N$, which has a left continuous inverse, is strict. □

In $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$, one has also a notion of *topologically free module* (briefly, *t-free module*). Let X be a closed subset of a module $L \in (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$. We say that L is the t-free R-module on X, if the following universal property holds: every continuous function from X to a module $M \in (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ can be extended uniquely to a map from L. The usual argument shows that there is, up to isomorphism, at most one t-free module on X.

Theorem 2.2 *Let X be the inverse limit of a countable tower of countable discrete spaces X_i (we say that X is a prodiscrete space). The t-free R-module on X, which we denote by $R[[X]]$, exists. If X is profinite, then also $R[[X]]$ is profinite. There is a canonical homomorphism, with dense image, from the ordinary free R-module on X to $R[[X]]$.*

Proof. Suppose first that X is a discrete countable set. Let then $R[X]$ be the ordinary free module on X. If K is a finite subset of X, then $R[K]$ has a natural structure of compact t.d. R-module. Consider $R[X]$ with the topology coinduced by the submodules $R[K]$. Since all the natural injective maps $R[K] \to R[X]$ splits, it is not hard to see that $R[X]$ is a Hausdorff topological R-module, with a basis of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open submodules and satisfies the 2^{nd} countability axiom. Its completion $\widehat{R[X]}$ is then a t.d. R-module. So, we let

$$R[[X]] := \widehat{R[X]}.$$

All the statements of the theorem are clearly satisfied.

In case X is the inverse limit of a countable tower $\{X_i,\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of discrete countable sets. Then $\{R[[X_i]],\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a countable tower of t.d. R-modules and we let $R[[X]]$ be its inverse limit. The natural function $X \to R[[X]]$ is obtained from the tower of closed embeddings $X_i \hookrightarrow R[[X_i]]$, hence it is a closed embedding too.

Let $f : X \to M$ be a continuous function in a t.d. R-module which is the inverse limit of a tower $\{M_j, \psi_{ij}\}$ of discrete t.d. R-modules. Then f is equivalent to the tower of continuous functions $\{f_j : X \to M_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$. Each of these functions must factor through a discrete quotient $p_j : X \to X_j$ of X and a function $f'_j : X_j \to M_j$. So there is a natural map $p_{j*} : R[[X]] \to R[[X_j]]$ and, as we have just seen, also a natural map $f'_{j*} : R[[X_j]] \to M_j$. Thus there is a map $f_{j*} : R[[X]] \to M_j$. Since $\{f_j\}$ is a tower of functions, $\{f_{j*}\}$ is also a tower of maps of t.d. R-modules. Its inverse limit $f_* : R[[X]] \longrightarrow M$ is a map extending f and uniquely determined by it.

The natural map $R[X] \to R[[X]]$ has dense image because all the maps $R[X] \to R[[X_i]]$ have dense images.

We conclude observing that, when X is profinite, each $R[[X_i]] = R[X_i]$ is compact and so the same is true for their inverse limit $R[[X]]$. □

Remark 2.2 (i) In general, the fact that the function $X \to M$ is strict does not guarantee that the induced map $R[[X]] \to M$ is strict. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of positive integers endowed with the discrete topology, then a counter-example is given by the injective, non strict map $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\mathbb{N}]] \hookrightarrow \varprojlim_m (\mathbb{Z}/m)[\mathbb{N}]$.

(ii) On the other hand, if $f : X \to Y$ is either a surjective or strict injective function of prodiscrete spaces, as one can easily check, the map $f_* : R[[X]] \to R[[Y]]$ is strict.

(iii) Let us denote $\varprojlim (\mathbb{Z}/m)[\mathbb{N}]$ by L. The embedding $\mathbb{N} \hookrightarrow L$ induces a strict injective map $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\mathbb{N}]] \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[L]]$ and the identity induces a surjective map $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[L]] \twoheadrightarrow L$. However, as we just remarked, their composition $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\mathbb{N}]] \hookrightarrow \varprojlim (\mathbb{Z}/m)[\mathbb{N}]$ is not strict. Thus, the composition of strict maps in $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ is not necessarily strict.

Definition 2.3 We say that a t.d. R-module P is relatively projective if, given a diagram in $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} & P \arrow[d, "\pi"] & \\ M \arrow[r, "f"] & N \arrow[r] & 0, \end{tikzcd}$$

where f is a surjective map, there is a continuous map π' which lifts π. We say that the category $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ has *enough* projectives, if every module in $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ is a quotient of a relatively projective one.

Let us take $R[[X]]$ for P in the above diagram. Since f has a continuous section s, there is a continuos function $s \circ \pi : X \to M$ and this defines a map $\pi' : R[[X]] \to M$, lifting π.

Corollary 2.3 *A t-free R-module is relatively projective, hence $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ has enough projectives.*

Remark 2.3 The category of profinite R-modules is abelian. By Corollary 2.3, it follows that it is an abelian category with enough projectives.

Pontryagin duality induces an isomorphism $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^* \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. More generally, we have

Corollary 2.4 *The functor $*$ transforms relatively projective objects of $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ in relatively injective objects. In particular, since the category $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ has enough projectives, it also has enough injectives.*

Proof. If $\pi : P \to M^*$ is a surjective map from a relatively projective t.d. R-module P to the Pontryagin dual of M, then $\pi^* : M \cong M^{**} \to P^*$ is a strict injective map of M into the injective t.d. R-module P^*. □

Remark 2.4 (i) The functor $*$ transforms the abelian subcategory of $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ consisting of profinite R-modules, in the abelian subcategory of discrete R-modules which instead has enough injectives. The smallest category, containing both of them, which has both enough projectives and injectives is $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$.

(ii) The t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is self-dual for Pontryagin duality. In fact, one can check that $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^* \cong \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ and, more in general, $(\mathbb{Z}_p[1/p^k])^* \cong \mathbb{Q}_p/p^k\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then, since $\mathbb{Q}_p \cong \varinjlim_k \mathbb{Z}_p[1/p^k]$, one has

$$\mathbb{Q}_p^* \cong \varprojlim_k \mathbb{Q}_p/p^k\mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Therefore the t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is both relatively injective and projective.

3 The completed tensor product

Definition 3.1 Let R and S be compact unitary rings, satisfying the first countability axiom. A t.d. $R-S$-bimodule is a Hausdorff, complete topological $R-S$-bimodule M which has a basis of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open submodules and satisfies the 2^{nd} countability axiom. We denote the corresponding category by $(R-S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$.

By Remark 4.2.23 in [A-G-M], if $\{U_i\}$ is a basis of neighborhoods of zero of M, then $M \cong \varprojlim M/U_i$, where the M/U_i are discrete $R-S$-bimodules.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $M \in (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ be endowed with a structure of topological $R-S$-bimodule, then M is a t.d. $R-S$-bimodule.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.1, we know that $M \cong \varinjlim K_j$, where $\{K_j\}$ is a countable nest of compact subgroups of M. Therefore $M \cong \varinjlim (R \cdot K_j \cdot S)$, where now $\{R \cdot K_j \cdot S\}$ is a nest of compact submodules of M. Let $C_j := R \cdot K_j \cdot S$, then the maps $\pi_j : R \times C_j \times S \to C_j$ form a directed system of continuous surjective maps between profinite spaces. Since all such maps are open, their direct limit $\pi : R \times M \times S \to M$ is open too.

Let then U be an open subgroup of M, then $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is an open neighborhood of $(0,0,0) \in R \times M \times S$ and so contains a basic open set $I_R \times U' \times I_S$, where I_R and I_S are open two-sided ideals of R and S respectively and $U' \subset U$ is an open subgroup of M. Since π is open $I_R \cdot U' \cdot I_S \subset U$ is an open submodule of M. □

All constructions performed on $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ extend to $(R-S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$. In particular, inverse and direct limits exist in $(R-S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ for countable families and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M,N)$ has a natural structure of t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module.

Let $M \in (R-S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ and $N \in (S-T\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$, then $M \times N$ is a prodiscrete space and there is a t-free $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[M \times N]]$. This $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module has also a natural structure of t.d. $R-T$-bimodule. The map $R \times M \times N \times T \to M \times N$ defined by $(r,m,n,t) \mapsto (r \cdot m, n \cdot t)$, extends indeed uniquely to a map

$$R \times \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[M \times N]] \times T \to \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[M \times N]]$$

and gives $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[M \times N]]$ a structure of topological $R-T$-bimodule. By Proposition 3.1, $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[M \times N]]$ is then a t.d. $R-T$-bimodule.

Let K be the closure of the $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-submodule of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[M \times N]]$ generated by the subsets:

$$\begin{aligned} \{(m_1+m_2,n)-(m_1,n)-(m_2,n) \quad &| \quad m_1,m_2 \in M,\ n \in N\} \\ \{(m,n_1+n_2)-(m,n_1)-(m,n_2) \quad &| \quad m \in M,\ n_1,n_2 \in N\} \\ \{(m \cdot s,n)-(m,s \cdot n) \quad &| \quad m \in M,\ n \in N,\ s \in S\} \\ \{(k \cdot m,n)-k \cdot (m,n) \quad &| \quad m \in M,\ n \in N,\ k \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that K is actually an $R-T$-submodule of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[M \times N]]$.

Definition 3.2 With the notations introduced above, the *completed tensor product* of $M \in (R-S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ and $N \in (S-T\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$, denoted $M\widehat{\otimes}_S N$, is the quotient t.d. $R-T$-bimodule:

$$M\widehat{\otimes}_S N := \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[M \times N]]/K.$$

The completed tensor product $\widehat{\otimes}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}$ will be denoted simply by $\widehat{\otimes}$.

Since there is a canonical map, with dense image, from $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[M \times N]$ to $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[M \times N]]$, we see that there is also a canonical map with dense image:

$$M \otimes_S N \longrightarrow M\widehat{\otimes}_S N.$$

Thus, if $\pi : \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[M \times N]] \to \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[M \times N]]/K$ is the projection and we let $m\hat{\otimes}n := \pi((m,n))$ for each $(m,n) \in M \times N$, we see that the set $\{m\hat{\otimes}n | (m,n) \in M \times N\}$ spans a dense submodule of $M\widehat{\otimes}_S N$ and there are the obvious identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
(m_1 + m_2)\hat{\otimes}n &= m_1\hat{\otimes}n + m_2\hat{\otimes}n \\
m\hat{\otimes}(n_1 + n_2) &= m\hat{\otimes}n_1 + m\hat{\otimes}n_2 \\
ms\hat{\otimes}n &= m\hat{\otimes}sn.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $Q \in (R-T\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$, a continuous function $g : M \times N \to Q$ is said to be an S-bilinear map if it satisfies the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}
g(m_1 + m_2, n) &= g(m_1, n) + g(m_2, n) \\
g(m, n_1 + n_2) &= g(m, n_1) + g(m, n_2) \\
g(m \cdot s, n) &= g(m, s \cdot n) \\
g(r \cdot m, n) &= r \cdot g(m, n) \\
g(m, n \cdot t) &= g(m, n) \cdot t.
\end{aligned}$$

Otherwise stated, g is left R-linear in the first argument, right T-linear in the second and compatible with the S-module structures on M and N.

Let $g_* : \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[M \times N]] \to Q$ be the map induced by g. From the very definition of K, it follows that $K < \ker g_*$. Hence there is a map of t.d. $R-T$-bimodules, uniquely determined by g:

$$g_\sharp : M\widehat{\otimes}_S N \longrightarrow Q.$$

Moreover, denoting by $\theta : M \times N \to M\widehat{\otimes}_S N$ the S-bilinear map defined by $(m,n) \mapsto m\hat{\otimes}n$, the S-bilinear map g factors uniquely through θ and $g_\sharp$. The completed tensor product $M\widehat{\otimes}_S N$ is determined by this property. If we consider S-bilinear maps as the objects of a category, with maps given by commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \times N \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[dr, "g'"] & Q \arrow[d, "f"] \\
& Q',
\end{tikzcd}$$

where f is a map of $R-T$-bimodules, then the S-bilinear map $\theta : M \times N \to M\widehat{\otimes}_S N$ is a universal object in this category.

It is not difficult then to prove the standard properties of tensor products also for completed tensor products.

Proposition 3.2 *(i) let $M \in (R-S\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$, then there are natural topological isomorphisms*

$$R\widehat{\otimes}_R M \cong M \quad \text{and} \quad M\widehat{\otimes}_S S \cong M;$$

(ii) let $M \in (R-S\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$, $N \in (S-T\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$ and $P \in (T-U\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$, then there is a topological isomorphism of $R-U$-bimodules

$$(M\widehat{\otimes}_S N)\widehat{\otimes}_T P \cong M\widehat{\otimes}_S (N\widehat{\otimes}_T P);$$

(iii) let $M \cong \varinjlim M_i$ and $N \cong \varinjlim N_j$, topologically, where M and all M_i are t.d. $R-S$-bimodules and N and all N_j are t.d. $S-T$-bimodules. There is then a topological isomorphism of t.d. $R-T$-bimodules

$$M\widehat{\otimes}_S N \cong \varinjlim (M_i \widehat{\otimes}_S N_j);$$

(iv) let $f : M \to P$ be a map of t.d. $R-S$-bimodules and $g : N \to Q$ a map of t.d. $S-T$-bimodules, then there is a map of t.d. $R-T$-bimodules

$$f\hat{\otimes}_S g : M\widehat{\otimes}_S N \longrightarrow P\widehat{\otimes}_S Q,$$

determined by $f\hat{\otimes}_S g(m\hat{\otimes} n) := f(m)\hat{\otimes} g(n)$.

Let $M \in (R-S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ and $N \in (R-T\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$. The t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M,N)$ has a natural structure of t.d. $S-T$-bimodule defined, for $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(M,N)$, by:

$$\begin{aligned} (s\cdot f)(m) &= f(m\cdot s) \quad \text{for all } m \in M \text{ and } s \in S,\\ (f\cdot t)(m) &= f(m)\cdot t \quad \text{for all } m \in M \text{ and } t \in T. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1 *Let $M \in (R-S\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$, $N \in (S-T\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$ and $P \in (R-U\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$. Then there is a natural topological isomorphism of t.d. $T-U$-bimodules:*

$$Hom_R(M\widehat{\otimes}_S N, P) \cong Hom_S(N, Hom_R(M,P)).$$

Proof. The above theorem is just the combination of two well known results. One is the adjointness between tensor products and hom functors (see Proposition 2.6.3 in [We]), the other is the corresponding adjointness in topology (see Theorem 3.9 in [Hu]). □

Let $M \in (R-S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ and $N \in (S-T\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$. The Pontryagin dual $M^* = \mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ has also a natural structure of $S-R$-bimodule defined, for $f \in M^*$, by

$$(s\cdot f\cdot r)(m) = f(r\cdot m\cdot s) \quad \text{for all } m \in M,\ s \in S,\ r \in R.$$

If we let $P = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ in Theorem 3.1, we get

Corollary 3.1 *Let* $M \in (R - S\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$ *and* $N \in (S - T\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$*, then there is a natural topological isomorphism of t.d.* T*-modules:*

$$(M \widehat{\otimes}_S N)^* \cong Hom_S(N, M^*).$$

Definition 3.3 Let F be a covariant functor from $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ to $(S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$. We say that F is *right exact* (respectively *left exact*) if, given a short exact sequence of t.d. R-modules $0 \to A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \to 0$, the induced sequence of t.d. S-modules

$$F(A) \overset{F(f)}{\to} F(B) \overset{F(g)}{\to} F(C) \to 0 \ \ \text{(respectively } 0 \to F(A) \overset{F(f)}{\to} F(B) \overset{F(g)}{\to} F(C))$$

is exact. Right and left exactness for controvariant functors are defined similarly.

Remark 3.1 We say that a functor $F : (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.} \to (S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ is *strict* when it preserves strictness of maps. From the above definition, it follows immediately that right exact functors are strict.

Proposition 3.3 *(i) Let* $M \in (R - S\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$*, then the covariant functor* $Hom_R(M, \_)$ *is left exact and strict. The same statement holds for the controvariant functor* $Hom_R(\_, M)$*.*

(ii) Let $M \in (R - S\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$ *and* $N \in (S - T\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$*, then the covariant functors* $M \widehat{\otimes}_S \_$ *and* $\_ \widehat{\otimes}_S N$ *are right exact and strict.*

Proof. Left exactness of the functors $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\_, M)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \_)$ can be proved in the usual way. Right exactness of the functors $M \widehat{\otimes}_S \_$ and $\_ \widehat{\otimes}_S N$ then follows from Corollary 3.1 and exactness of the functor $*$. So, as we remarked above, in particular, they are strict. Strictness of the functors $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\_, M)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \_)$ follows from Corollary 3.1 and strictness of the functors $M^* \widehat{\otimes}_R \_$ and $\_ \widehat{\otimes}_R M$ respectively. ☐

For any given $M \in (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ the module $M \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}_p$ is divisible and therefore, by Baer's Lemma 2.1, relatively injective. In Remark 2.4 we saw that $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is a relatively projective t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module. By Proposition 3.3, we have then

Corollary 3.2 *The functors* $Hom(\_, M \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}_p)$ *and* $\_ \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{Q}_p$ *are exact.*

4 Derived functors in $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$

Even though the category of t.d. R-modules is not abelian but only additive, one can develop a theory of derived functors like in abelian categories. Resolutions in $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ are defined as follows:

Definition 4.1 (i) A *projective resolution* of a t.d R-module M is an exact sequence:

$$\ldots \to P_2 \to P_1 \to P_0 \to M \to 0,$$

where P_i is a relatively projective t.d. R-module, $i \geq 0$.

(ii) An *injective resolution* of M is an exact sequence:

$$0 \to M \to I^0 \to I^1 \to I^2 \to \dots,$$

where I^j is a relatively injective t.d. R-module, $j \geq 0$.

In order to define derived functors, we need the two basic results below.

Comparison Theorem 4.1 *Suppose that for $M, N \in (R\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$ we are given a projective resolution:*

$$\dots \to P_2 \to P_1 \to P_0 \to M \to 0,$$

and an exact sequence:

$$\dots \to Q_2 \to Q_1 \to Q_0 \to N \to 0.$$

Then a map $f : M \to N$ extends to a chain map $f_\bullet : P_\bullet \to Q_\bullet$, and two such extensions differ by a homotopy. A similar result holds for injective resolutions.

Proof. The proof goes through exactly as in the context of abelian categories. $\square$

Horseshoe Lemma 4.1 *Suppose given a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
\dots \arrow[r] & P'_2 \arrow[r] & P'_1 \arrow[r] & P'_0 \arrow[r, "\epsilon'"] & A' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "i_A"] & 0 \\
 & & & & A \arrow[d, "\pi_A"] & \\
\dots \arrow[r] & P''_2 \arrow[r] & P''_1 \arrow[r] & P''_0 \arrow[r, "\epsilon''"] & A'' \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & & & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the column is exact and the rows are projective resolutions. Set $P_n = P'_n \oplus P''_n$. Then the P_n assemble to form a projective resolution $P_\bullet \xrightarrow{\epsilon} A$, and the right-hand column lifts to an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \to P'_\bullet \xrightarrow{i} P_\bullet \xrightarrow{\pi} P''_\bullet \to 0,$$

where $i_n : P'_n \to P_n$ and $\pi_n : P_n \to P''_n$ are the natural inclusion and projection respectively. A similar result holds for injective resolutions.

Proof. The proof goes through exactly as in the context of abelian categories. $\square$

Corollary 4.1 *Let $f : A \to B$ be a strict map of t.d. R-modules. Then there are projective resolutions $P_\bullet \to A$ and $P'_\bullet \to B$ connected by a strict chain map $\tilde{f}_\bullet : P_\bullet \to P'_\bullet$ lifting f. A similar result holds with injective instead of projective resolutions.*

Proof. A strict map $f : A \to B$ gives rise to two short exact sequences

$$0 \to \ker(f) \to A \to \mathrm{Im}(f) \to 0$$

$$0 \to \mathrm{Im}(f) \to B \to \mathrm{coker}(f) \to 0.$$

Taking projective resolutions of $\ker(f)$, $\mathrm{Im}(f)$ and $\mathrm{coker}(f)$ respectively, by the previous Lemma, they assemble giving projective resolutions $P_\bullet \to A$ and $P'_\bullet \to B$, which have the required property. □

Definition 4.2 (i) Let $F : (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.} \to (S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ be an additive right exact functor. The *left derived functors* L_iF, $i \geq 0$, are defined choosing for $A \in (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ a projective resolution $P_\bullet \to A$ and letting $L_iF(A) := H_i(F(P_\bullet))$. With the quotient topology, this is a t.d. S-module, for right exact functors are strict. Let $f : A \to B$ be a map of t.d. R-modules, $Q_\bullet \to B$ a projective resolution and $\tilde{f}_\bullet : P_\bullet \to Q_\bullet$ a chain map lifting f, we define $L_i(f)$ by

$$H_i(\tilde{f}_\bullet) : L_iF(A) \to L_iF(B).$$

(ii) Let $G : (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.} \to (S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ be an additive left exact *strict* functor. The *right derived functors* R^iG, $i \geq 0$ are defined, choosing for any $A \in (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ an injective resolution $A \to I^\bullet$ and letting $R^iG(A) := H^i(G(I^\bullet))$. With the quotient topology, this is also a t.d. S-module. Let $f : A \to B$ be a map of t.d. R-modules, $B \to J^\bullet$ an injective resolution and $\tilde{f}^\bullet : I^\bullet \to J^\bullet$ a cochain map lifting f, we define $R^i(f)$ by

$$H^i(\tilde{f}^\bullet) : R^iG(A) \to R^iG(B).$$

Since $F(P_1) \to F(P_0) \to F(A) \to 0$ and $0 \to G(A) \to G(I^0) \to G(I^1)$ are exact, we have $L_0F(A) \cong F(A)$ and $R^0G(A) \cong G(A)$, topologically. The proof that $L_iF(A)$ and $R^iG(A)$ are well defined up to natural (topological) isomorphism goes through as usual by means of the Comparison Theorem 4.1. Since F and G are additive functors, it follows that $L_iF(A)$ and $R^iG(A)$ are additive functors too. By Corollary 4.1, they are also strict.

The proof that $L_iF(A)$ and $R^iG(A)$ form, respectively, universal homological and cohomological δ-functors (see Definition 2.1.1 and 2.1.4 in [We]) proceeds as follows.

The long exact sequences, associated to a short exact sequence $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$ of t.d. R-modules,

$$\ldots \to L_nF(A) \to L_nF(B) \to L_nF(C) \xrightarrow{\delta} L_{n-1}F(A) \to \ldots \to L_0F(C) \to 0$$

$$0 \to R^0G(A) \to \ldots \to R^{n-1}G(C) \xrightarrow{\delta} R^nG(A) \to R^nG(B) \to R^nG(C) \to \ldots$$

are given by the long exact homology and cohomology sequences associated to the short split exact sequences of complexes provided by the Horseshoe Lemma 4.1

$$0 \to F(P'_\bullet) \to F(P'_\bullet) \oplus F(P''_\bullet) \to F(P''_\bullet) \to 0,$$

$$0 \to G(I'_\bullet) \to G(I'_\bullet) \oplus G(I''_\bullet) \to G(I''_\bullet) \to 0.$$

The naturality of the connecting maps δ is proven as usual (see Theorem 2.4.6 in [We]). By dimension shifting, one can then prove that, given another homological (respectively cohomological) δ-functor H_i (resp. H^i) from $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ to $(S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ and a natural transformation $f_0 : H_0 \to F$ (resp. $g^0 : G \to H^0$), there exists a unique morphism of δ-functors $\{f_i : H_i \to L_i F\}$ (resp. $\{g^i : R^i G \to H^i\}$) extending f (resp. g).

In Proposition 3.3, we showed that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(A, \_)$, for $A \in (R - S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$, is a strict left exact functor from $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ to $(S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ and $M \widehat{\otimes}_S \_$, for $M \in (R - S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$, is a right exact functor from $(S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ to $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$, so we can form the derived functors

- $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(A, B) := R^i \mathrm{Hom}_R(A, \_)(B)$, for $B \in (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$,
- $\mathrm{Tor}^S_i(M, N) := L_i(M \widehat{\otimes}_S \_)(N)$, for $N \in (S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$.

Since the controvariant functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\_, B)$ is strict left exact and $\_\widehat{\otimes}_S N$ right exact, one can also form the derived functors $R^i\mathrm{Hom}_R(\_, B)(A)$ and $L_i(\_\widehat{\otimes}_S N)(M)$. As usual, this yields nothing new.

Theorem 4.1 *There are topological isomorphisms:*

(i) $R^i Hom_R(A, \_)(B) \cong R^i Hom_R(\_, B)(A)$,

(ii) $L_i(M \widehat{\otimes}_S \_)(N) \cong L_i(\_\widehat{\otimes}_S N)(M)$.

Proof. Before proceeding in the proof, let us introduce spectral sequences in the context of t.d. R-modules.

Let $K^\bullet$ be a strict cochain complex of t.d. R-modules with a given decreasing sequence of closed subcomplexes

$$K^\bullet = F^0 K^\bullet \supset F^1 K^\bullet \supset \cdots \supset F^n K^\bullet \supset \dots$$

As it is shown for instance in § 4.2, [Go], one can associate to such filtered complex a spectral sequence $\{E^{pq}_r, d_r\}$. By the explicit description of its terms and differentials, one sees that each E^{pq}_r, with the quotient topology, is a t.d. R-module and that the differentials $d_r : E^{p,q}_r \to E^{p+r,q-r+1}_r$ are strict maps. Let us assume that the filtration on $K^\bullet$ is regular, then, letting $E_\infty := \lim\limits_{r\to\infty} E_r$, there is a topological isomorphism

$$E^{pq}_\infty \cong \mathrm{Gr}^p(H^{p+q}(K^\bullet)).$$

In this case we say that the spectral sequence $\{E^{pq}_r, d_r\}$ *converges* to $H^{p+q}(K^\bullet)$ and write

$$E^{pq}_r \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(K^\bullet).$$

A map of filtered complexes $f : K^\bullet \to L^\bullet$ induces a map $f^*_r : E_r(K^\bullet) \to E_r(L^\bullet)$ between the respective spectral sequences. If both complexes have regular filtrations and for some r the map f^*_r is an isomorphism, then, by the comparison Theorem for spectral sequences, the same is true for the map on cohomology

$$f^* : H^\bullet(K^\bullet) \to H^\bullet(L^\bullet).$$

Lemma 4.1 *(i) Let $K^{\bullet\bullet}$ be a strict first quadrant double cochain complex, then the total complex Tot($K^{\bullet\bullet}$) is also a strict cochain complex.*

(ii) Let $K^{\bullet\bullet} \to J^{\bullet\bullet}$ be a strict map of first quadrant double cochain complexes, then the induced cochain map Tot($K^{\bullet\bullet}$) $\to$ Tot($J^{\bullet\bullet}$) is also strict.

Proof. The first statement follows from Proposition 2.2, the second one is trivial. ☐

Let $K^{\bullet\bullet}$ be a strict first quadrant double cochain complex with horizontal differentials d and vertical differentials δ, then $\mathrm{Tot}(K^{\bullet\bullet})$ is a strict cochain complex with two natural regular filtrations giving rise to the spectral sequences

- $'E_2^{pq} \cong H_d^p(H_\delta^q(K^{\bullet\bullet})) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\mathrm{Tot}(K^{\bullet\bullet}))$
- $''E_2^{pq} \cong H_\delta^q(H_d^p(K^{\bullet\bullet})) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\mathrm{Tot}(K^{\bullet\bullet}))$

At this point we can prove Proposition 4.1. Let us prove *i*), then *ii*) can be proven likewise. Let $P_\bullet$ be a projective resolution of A and $I^\bullet$ an injective resolution of B. By Proposition 3.3, the double cochain complex $\mathrm{Hom}_R(P_\bullet, I^\bullet)$ has exact rows and exact columns. Moreover, there are double cochain complex maps from $\mathrm{Hom}_R(A, I^\bullet)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(P_\bullet, B)$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_R(P_\bullet, I^\bullet)$ (here A and B are considered as complexes concentrated in degree zero). From the two above spectral sequences, it follows immediately that both maps induce topological isomorphisms on cohomology. So, eventually, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} R^i\mathrm{Hom}_R(A, \_)(B) &\cong H^i(\mathrm{Hom}(A, I^\bullet)) \cong \\ &\cong H^i(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{Hom}_R(P_\bullet, I^\bullet))) \cong H^i(\mathrm{Hom}_R(P_\bullet, B)) \cong R^i\mathrm{Hom}_R(\_, B)(A). \end{aligned}$$

☐

5 Hypercohomology

Definition 5.1 Let $A^\bullet$ be a non-negative strict cochain complex of t.d. R-modules. A *Cartan-Eilenberg resolution* $I^{\bullet\bullet}$ of $A^\bullet$ is a first quadrant strict double complex, consisting of relatively injective t.d. R-modules, together with a strict chain morphism (the *augmentation*) $A^\bullet \to I^{\bullet 0}$ such that for every p:

- If $A^p = 0$, the column $I^{p\bullet}$ is zero;
- The vertical maps induced on horizontal coboundaries and horizontal cohomology

$$\begin{gathered} \delta' : B^p(A^\bullet) \to B^p(I^{\bullet 0}) \to B^p(I^{\bullet 1}) \to \dots \\ \delta'' : H^p(A^\bullet) \to H^p(I^{\bullet 0}) \to H^p(I^{\bullet 1}) \to \dots \end{gathered}$$

  form injective resolutions of $B^p(A^\bullet)$ and $H^p(A^\bullet)$.

Remark 5.1 It follows from the above two conditions that the columns $A^p \to I^{p\bullet}$ and the vertical maps induced on horizontal cocycles $Z^p(A^\bullet) \to Z^p(I^{\bullet 0}) \to Z^p(I^{\bullet 1}) \to \dots$ also form injective resolutions. Indeed, for all $p, q \geq 0$, there are topological isomorphisms

$$I^{pq} \cong B^p(I^{\bullet q}) \oplus H^p(I^{\bullet q}) \oplus B^{p+1}(I^{\bullet q})$$

and the horizontal differential $d : I^{pq} \to I^{p+1,q}$ is induced by the projection onto $B^{p+1}(I^{\bullet q})$ followed by the embedding in $I^{p+1,q}$ while the vertical differential $\delta : I^{pq} \to I^{p,q+1}$ is the direct sum of the differentials δ' and δ''.

Lemma 5.1 *The total complex of a Cartan-Eilenberg resolution $I^{\bullet\bullet}$ of a strict cochain complex $A^\bullet$ is a strict cochain complex quasi-isomorphic to $A^\bullet$ (i.e. the cochain map $A^\bullet \to Tot(I^{\bullet\bullet})$ induces a topological isomorphism on cohomology).*

Proof. The lemma follows immediately from Definition 5.1, Lemma 4.1 and a standard spectral sequence argument. ☐

Using Horseshoe Lemma 4.1 and following the pattern of the proof of Lemma 5.7.2 in [We], one can prove

Lemma 5.2 *Every cochain complex $A^\bullet$ has a Cartan-Eilenberg resolution.*

A homotopy between two double cochain complexes maps is defined in a way that it induces a homotopy of the corresponding maps of total complexes (see Definition 5.7.3 in [We]).

Proposition 5.1 *Let $f : A^\bullet \to B^\bullet$ be a cochain map and $A^\bullet \to I^{\bullet\bullet}$, $B^\bullet \to J^{\bullet\bullet}$ Cartan-Eilenberg resolutions. There is a double cochain complex map $\tilde{f} : I^{\bullet\bullet} \to J^{\bullet\bullet}$ lifting f. Moreover, $\tilde{f}$ is uniquely determined up to a continuous homotopy.*

For the proof, one proceeds like in the abelian categories' setting.

Definition 5.2 Let $F : (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.} \to (S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ be an additive left exact strict functor. If $A^\bullet$ is a strict cochain complex in $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ and and $A^\bullet \to I^{\bullet\bullet}$ is a Cartan-Eilenberg resolution, we define $\mathbb{R}^i F(A^\bullet)$ to be $H^i \mathrm{Tot}(F(I^{\bullet\bullet}))$. From Proposition 5.1, it follows that $\mathbb{R}^i F(A^\bullet)$ is indipendent of the choice of $I^{\bullet\bullet}$. Let $f : A^\bullet \to B^\bullet$ be a cochain map and $\tilde{f} : I^{\bullet\bullet} \to J^{\bullet\bullet}$ a map of Eilenberg-Cartan resolutions lifting f, we define $\mathbb{R}^i(f)$ to be the map

$$H^i(\mathrm{Tot}(\tilde{f})) : \mathbb{R}^i F(A^\bullet) \to \mathbb{R}^i F(B^\bullet).$$

The $\mathbb{R}^i F$ are called the *right hyperderived functors* of F.

The spectral sequences of a double complex, which we considered at the end of Section 4, in this context become

Proposition 5.2 *There are two convergent spectral sequences*

- ${}'E_2^{pq} = H^p(R^qF(A^\bullet)) \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p+q}F(A^\bullet)$,
- ${}''E_2^{pq} = R^pF(H^q(A^\bullet)) \Rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p+q}F(A^\bullet)$.

Proof. The first spectral sequence is obtained differentiating first by columns and then by rows. The second one differentiating first by rows and then by columns (see the decomposition of I^{pq} given in Remark 5.1). ☐

Corollary 5.1 *(i) If $A^\bullet$ is exact, $\mathbb{R}^iF(A^\bullet) = 0$ for all i.*

(ii) A quasi-isomorphism $f : A^\bullet \to B^\bullet$ induces isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{R}^iF(A^\bullet) \to \mathbb{R}^iF(B^\bullet).$$

(iii) If all A^q are F-acyclic, that is $R^pF(A^q) = 0$ for $p \neq 0$, then

$$\mathbb{R}^qF(A^\bullet) \cong H^q(F(A^\bullet)) \quad \textit{for all } p.$$

Let $F : (R\text{-mod})^{t.d.} \to (S\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ and $G : (S\text{-mod})^{t.d.} \to (T\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$ be two left exact strict functors. So that we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.} \arrow[rr, "F"] \arrow[dr, "GF"'] & & (S\text{-mod})^{t.d.} \arrow[dl, "G"] \\
& (T\text{-mod})^{t.d.} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Grothendieck spectral sequence 5.1 *In the above setup, suppose that F sends relatively injectives of $(R\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$ to G-acyclic objects of $(S\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$. Then there is a convergent spectral sequence for each $A \in (R\text{-}mod)^{t.d.}$:*

$$E_2^{pq} = (R^pG)(R^qF)(A) \Rightarrow R^{p+q}(GF)(A).$$

The edge maps in this spectral sequence are the natural maps

$$(R^pG)(FA) \to R^p(GF)(A) \quad \textit{and} \quad R^q(GF)(A) \to G(R^qG(A)).$$

The exact sequence of low degree terms is

$$0 \to (R^1G)(FA) \to R^1(GF)(A) \to G(R^1F(A)) \to (R^2F)(GA) \to R^2(GF)(A).$$

Proof. Choose an injective resolution $A \to I^\bullet$ of A in $(R\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$, and apply F to get a strict cochain complex $F(I^\bullet)$. Take a Cartan-Eilenberg resolution of $F(I^\bullet)$ and form the hyperderived functors $\mathbb{R}^iG(F(I^\bullet))$. The second spectral sequence of Proposition 5.2 and item (iii) of Corollary 5.1 give Grothendieck spectral sequence. ☐

Remark 5.2 The hyperhomology $\mathbb{L}(A_\bullet)$ of a chain complex of t.d. R-modules $A_\bullet$ is defined dualizing all the above definitions, lemma's and properties. There are hyperhomology spectral sequences and then a Grothendieck spectral sequence in a homology set-up.

6 Cohomology and homology of profinite groups

Let G be a profinite group, i.e. a totally disconnected compact topological group. Let us assume, moreover, that G has a countable basis of neighborhoods of the identity. These assumptions on G are satisfied by profinite groups which occur in most of the applications and will be mantained all through the paper. The idea of restricting to groups satisfying the above separability condition comes from [M], where a cohomology theory of topological groups with continuous coefficients is developed in greater generality.

The completed group algebra of G over $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, denoted by $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$, is a Hausdorff compact unitary topological ring which satisfies the first axiom of countability and then the conditions of Definition 1.1.

Let $M \in (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}\text{-mod})^{t.d.}$, we say that M is a t.d. G-module if there is a continuos left action $\rho : G \times M \longrightarrow M$ of G on M. The G-module structure ρ extends uniquely to a map $\rho_* : \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]\hat{\otimes} M \longrightarrow M$ and, as one easily checks, ρ_* gives M a structure of topological $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$-module and then, by Proposition 3.1, of t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$-module. The converse is clearly also true. So we have:

Proposition 6.1 *The category of t.d. G-modules is equivalent to the category of t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$-modules.*

For a profinite subgroup G and a t.d. G-module A, let us define:

- the invariant submodule $A^G := \{a \in A | ga = a \text{ for all } g \in G\}$;
- the module of coinvariants $A_G := A \Big/ \overline{< ga - a| \text{ for all } g \in G \text{ and } a \in A >}$.

Remark 6.1 The invariant submodule A^G is closed in A because it is the intersection of the closed submodules $\ker(a \mapsto ga - a)$. Instead, the submodule of A generated by

$$\{ga - a| \text{ for all } g \in G \text{ and } a \in A\}$$

a priori is not closed. So, as module of coinvariants, one takes its closure in A.

The *augmentation map* $\epsilon_G : \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]] \to \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the map of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-algebras defined sending G to 1. Its kernel $\mathcal{I}_G$, called the *augmentation ideal* of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$, is the closure of the ideal of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$ generated by the elements $k(g-1)$ for all $k \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $g \in G$. The augmentation map ϵ_G gives to $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ a structure of t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$-module. There are natural topological isomorphisms

- $A^G \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, A^G) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, A)$,
- $A_G \cong A/(\mathcal{I}_G \cdot A) \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}\hat{\otimes}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}A$.

Definition 6.1 Let G be a profinite group which has a countable basis of neighborhoods of the identity. Then the cohomology and homology of G with coefficients in the t.d. G-module A are defined respectively by

- $H^i(G,A) = \mathrm{Ext}^i_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}},A)$,
- $H_i(G,A) = \mathrm{Tor}_i^{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}},A)$.

Remark 6.2 In Corollary 2.1 we saw that any t.d. G-module A is the direct limit of a countable nest $\{A_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of compact submodules. From Proposition 3.2, it then follows that, for all $k \geq 0$, there is a topological isomorphism

$$H_k(G,A) \cong \varinjlim_i H_k(G,A_i).$$

Less trivially, a similar statement holds also for cohomology. Indeed, by Theorem 4.1, one can compute the cohomology of G out of a compact projective resolution $P_\bullet \to \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and, for a compact t.d. G-module C, there is a topological isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}(C,A) \cong \varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}(C,A_i).$$

Therefore, for all $k \geq 0$, there is a topological isomorphism

$$H^k(G,A) \cong \varinjlim_i H^k(G,A_i).$$

Cohomology and homology of profinite groups are related by Pontryagin duality. By Corollary 3.1, one has indeed

Proposition 6.2 *Let A be a right t.d. G-module and consider A^* as a left t.d. G-module. For all $i \geq 0$, there is a natural topological isomorphism*

$$H_i(G,A)^* \cong H^i(G,A^*).$$

Corollary 6.1 *Let A be the inverse limit of a countable tower $\{A_i, \phi_{ij}\}$ of discrete t.d. G-modules with all the maps ϕ_{ij} surjective. There is then, for all k, a natural topological isomorphism*

$$H^k(G,A) \cong \varprojlim_i H^k(G,A_i).$$

Proof. As we saw in Section 2, there is a natural topological isomorphism $A^* \cong \varinjlim A_i^*$. By Theorem 4.1, the groups $H_i(G,A^*)$ can be computed by means of a projective resolution of the t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$-module $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. By Proposition 3.2, there is then a topological isomorphism $H_k(G,A^*) \cong \varinjlim H_k(G,A_i^*)$, and, from Proposition 6.2, one has

$$H^k(G,A) \cong H_k(G,A^*)^* \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\varinjlim_i H_k(G,A_i^*),\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong \varprojlim_i H^k(G,A_i).$$

□

Universal Coefficient Theorem 6.1 *Let A be a trivial t.d. G-module, there are, non canonically, split short exact sequences*

$$0 \to Ext^1(H_{i-1}(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}),A) \to H^i(G,A) \to Hom(H_i(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}),A) \to 0$$

$$0 \to H_i(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}})\widehat{\otimes}A \to H_i(G,A) \to Tor_1(H_{i-1}(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}),A) \to 0.$$

Proof. One proceeds as usual. Let $P_\bullet \to \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a free resolution of the t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$-module $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and consider then $(P_\bullet)_G = P_\bullet\widehat{\otimes}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. This is a chain complex of projective compact t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-modules (each of them is a direct summand of a free compact t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module). The group G acts trivially on A. Therefore, there are natural topological isomorphisms:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}(P_\bullet, A) \cong \mathrm{Hom}((P_\bullet)_G, A) \quad \text{and} \quad P_\bullet\widehat{\otimes}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}A \cong (P_\bullet)_G\widehat{\otimes}A,$$

At this point, observe that a compact t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module (i.e. a profinite abelian group) is projective if and only if it is torsion free (for its Pontryagin dual is injective if and only if it is divisible). Thus, a submodule of a projective compact t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module is projective. Therefore, the chain complex $(P_\bullet)_G$ splits (non canonically). One then applies a standard argument (see §3.6 of [We]) to conclude. □

By Theorem 4.1, we can compute the groups $H^i(G,M)$ out of a projective resolution of the t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$-module $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. A standard resolution used for this purpose is the so called *bar resolution*. For a complete description of it, we refer the reader to Section 9.8 of [Wi]. Using the bar resolutions, it is not hard to see that the groups $H^i(G,M)$ are given by the cohomology of the cochain complex, endowed with the compact-open topology,

$$C^n(G,M) := \{\text{continuouos functions } f : G^n \to M\},$$

and differentials $\partial_n : C^{n-1}(G,M) \to C^n(G,M)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_n f)(x_1,\dots,x_n) &= x_1 \cdot f(x_2,\dots,x_n) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^i f(x_1,\dots,x_i x_{i+1},\dots,x_n) + (-1)^n f(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}).\end{aligned}$$

The group of 1-cocycles is then

$$\mathrm{Der}(G,M) = \{\text{cont. functions } D : G \to M | D(gh) = g\cdot D(h) + D(g) \text{ for all } g,h \in G\}$$

and its elements are called *derivations*. While the group of 1-coboundaries is

$$\mathrm{PDer}(G,M) = \{D_m : G \to M, \text{ where } D_m(g) = g\cdot m - m, \text{ for all } g \in G, m \in M\}$$

and its elements are called *principal derivations*.

Proposition 6.3 *There is a natural topological isomorphism:*

$$H^1(G,M) \cong Der(G,M)/PDer(G,M).$$

Let H be a closed subgroup of a profinite group G and let A be a t.d. H-module. The *induced and coinduced* G-modules are defined to be

$$\mathrm{Ind}_G^H(A) := \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]\widehat{\otimes}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[H]]}A; \qquad \mathrm{Coind}_G^H(A) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[H]]}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]],A).$$

As we have seen in Section 3, these groups have a natural structure of t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$-modules induced by the right $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$-module structure of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$.

Shapiro's Lemma 6.1 *With the above notations, for every $i \geq 0$, there are natural topological isomorphisms*

$$H_i(G, Ind_G^H(A)) \cong H_i(H,A) \quad \textit{and} \quad H^i(G, Coind_G^H(A)) \cong H^i(H,A).$$

Proof. According to Proposition 1.3.4 and 7.6.3 in [Wi], there is a homeomorphism $G \cong H \times T$, where T is a cross section of the fibration of G over the coset space $Q = \{Hg | g \in G\}$ and $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$ is the t-free $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[H]]$-module on T. Let $P_\bullet \to \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a t-free $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$-module resolution, then $P_\bullet \to \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is also a t-free $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[H]]$-module resolution. Therefore the homology of the chain complex

$$P_\bullet\widehat{\otimes}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]\widehat{\otimes}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[H]]}A) \cong P_\bullet\widehat{\otimes}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[H]]}A$$

is both $\mathrm{Tor}_\bullet^{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]\widehat{\otimes}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[H]]}A) = H_\bullet(G, \mathrm{Ind}_G^H(A))$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_\bullet^{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[H]]}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, A) = H_\bullet(H,A)$.

As to cohomology, by Theorem 3.1, there is a natural topological isomorphism of cochain complexes

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}(P_\bullet, \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[H]]}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]],A)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[H]]}(P_\bullet, A).$$

Therefore there is a natural topological isomorphism between

$$\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[H]]}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]],A)) = H^\bullet(G, \mathrm{Coind}_G^H(A))$$

and $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[H]]}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, A) = H^\bullet(H,A)$. □

Remark 6.3 If the subgroup H has finite index in G, there is an isomorphism of t.d. G-modules

$$\mathrm{Ind}_G^H(A) \cong \mathrm{Coind}_G^H(A).$$

The proof goes through likewise to the discrete case (see Lemma 6.3.4 in [We]).

Let $\rho : H \to G$ be a continuous homomorphism of profinite groups, then the forgetful functor $\rho^\sharp$ from t.d. G-modules to t.d. H-modules is exact and for every t.d. G-module A there is a natural embedding $A^G \hookrightarrow (\rho^\sharp A)^H$ and a natural surjection $(\rho^\sharp A)_H \twoheadrightarrow A_G$. These two maps extend uniquely to the morphisms $\rho^* = \mathrm{res}^G_H$ (called *restriction*) and $\rho_* = \mathrm{cor}^G_H$ (called *corestriction*) of δ-functors

$$\mathrm{res}^G_H : H^\bullet(G, A) \to H^\bullet(H, \rho^\sharp A) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{cor}^G_H : H_\bullet(H, \rho^\sharp A) \to H_\bullet(G, A).$$

Let N be a closed normal subgroup of a profinite group G and let $\rho : G \to G/N$. Let also A be a t.d. G-module, then the composites

$$\begin{array}{l} \inf : H^\bullet(G/N, A^N) \overset{res}{\to} H^\bullet(G, A^N) \to H^\bullet(G, A) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathrm{coinf} : H_\bullet(G, A) \to H_\bullet(G, A_N) \overset{cor}{\to} H_\bullet(G/N, A_N). \end{array}$$

are called the *inflation* and *coinflation* maps. On H^0 inflation induces the isomorphism $(A^N)^{G/N} \cong A^G$ and on H_0 coinflation induces the isomorphism $A_G \cong (A_H)_{G/H}$.

Let us observe, moreover, that there are natural topological isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}(\rho^\sharp B, A) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G/N]]}(B, A^N) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}(A, \rho^\sharp B) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G/N]]}(A_N, B).$$

Since $\rho^\sharp$ is exact, from a standard argument, it follows that the functor $(\_)^N$, from t.d. G-modules to t.d. G/N-modules, preserves injectives and the functor $(\_)_N$ preserves projectives. So there are Grothendieck spectral sequences 5.1 for the compositions of functors $(\,(\_)^N)^{G/N} \cong (\_)^G$ and $(\,(\_)_N)_{G/N} \cong (\_)_G$.

Lyndon/Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence 6.1 *Let N be a closed normal subgroup of a profinite group G and A a t.d. G-module. There are two convergent spectral sequences*

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(G/N, H^q(N, A)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(G, A);$$

$$E^2_{pq} = H_p(G/N, H_q(N, A)) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(G, A).$$

The edge maps $H^\bullet(G/N, A^N) \to H^\bullet(G, A)$ and $H^\bullet(G, A) \to H^\bullet(N, A)^{G/N}$, in the first spectral sequence, are induced by the inflation and restriction maps. The edge maps $H_\bullet(G, A) \to H_\bullet(G/N, A_N)$ and $H_\bullet(N, A)_{G/N} \to H_\bullet(G, A)$, in the second spectral sequence, are induced by the coinflation and corestriction maps.

Remark 6.4 The exact sequences of low degree terms are respectively

$$0 \to H^1(G/N, A^N) \overset{inf}{\to} H^1(G, A) \overset{res}{\to} H^1(N, A)^{G/N} \overset{d}{\to} H^2(G/N, A^N) \overset{inf}{\to} H^2(G, A);$$

$$H_2(G, A) \overset{coinf}{\to} H_2(G/N, A_N) \overset{d}{\to} H_1(N, A)_{G/N} \overset{cor}{\to} H_1(G, A) \overset{coinf}{\to} H_1(G/N, A_N) \to 0.$$

Let $\rho : G \to G'$ be a homomorphism of a discrete group in a profinite group. The forgetful functor $\rho^\sharp$ from t.d. G'-modules to G-modules is exact. For every t.d. G'-module A, there are a natural injection $A^{G'} \to (\rho^\sharp A)^G$ and a natural surjection $(\rho^\sharp A)_G \to A_{G'}$. These two maps then extend uniquely to the two morphisms of δ-functors:

$$\rho^* : H^\bullet(G', A) \to H^\bullet(G, \rho^\sharp A) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_* : H_\bullet(G, \rho^\sharp A) \to H_\bullet(G', A).$$

Definition 6.2 Let G' be a profinite completion of G and let $\rho : G \to G'$ be the natural homomorphism. We say that G is *good* with respect to the completion G' if for all t.d. G'-module A the induced map ρ^* is an isomorphism for $i \geq 0$. If this happens for the profinite completion G' of G, then G is called *good* (this is the original definition of Serre in exercise 2, [Se], §2.6).

We say, instead, that G is *p-good* with respect to the completion G' if for all t.d. p-primary G'-module A (i.e. $A \cong A\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Z}_p$) the induced map ρ^* is an isomorphism for $i \geq 0$.

The category of t.d. G-modules is the natural context in which to consider this notion (formulated by Serre in the category of finite G'-modules), as the following proposition shows.

Proposition 6.4 *Let G be a discrete group of FP_∞ type (see § VIII of [Br] for this notion). Let G' be a profinite completion of G and $\rho : G \to G'$ the natural homomorphism. The following properties are then equivalent:*

A_n: *For any (resp. any p-primary) t.d. G'-module A, the map $\rho^* : H^i(G', A) \to H^i(G, A)$ is bijective for $i \leq n$ and injective for $i = n + 1$.*

B_n: *For any discrete finite (resp. p-primary torsion finite) G'-module A, the map $\rho^* : H^i(G', A) \to H^i(G, A)$ is surjective for all $i \leq n$.*

C_n *For any discrete finite (resp. p-primary torsion finite) G'-module A, the map $\rho_* : H_i(G, A) \to H_i(G', A)$ is injective for all $i \leq n$.*

D_n: *For any (resp. any p-primary) t.d. G'-module A, the map $\rho_* : H_i(G, A) \to H_i(G', A)$ is bijective for $i \leq n$ and surjective for $i = n + 1$.*

Proof. $[A_n] \Rightarrow [B_n]$: obvious.

$[B_n] \Rightarrow [A_n]$: Let $A \cong \varprojlim A_i$, where $\{A_i, \psi_{ij}\}$ is a tower of finite G'-modules and surjective G'-module homomorphisms. By Corollary 6.1, one has

$$H^i(G', A) \cong \varprojlim H^i(G', A_i).$$

On the other hand, by the FP_∞ condition imposed on G and Theorem 3.5.8 in [We], one also has

$$H^i(G, A) \cong \varprojlim H^i(G, A_i).$$

Therefore, property $[B_n]$ holds with coefficients in any t.d. compact (resp. p-primary compact) G'-modules. Let A be a t.d. (resp. p-primary t.d.) G'-module. By Corollary 2.1, A is the direct limit of a nest of compact submodules. By Remark 6.2 and Theorem 4.8 in [Br], the cohomology of both G' and G commute with such direct limit. Thus, property $[B_n]$ holds with coefficients in any (resp. any p-primary) t.d. G'-module. So let A be any (resp. any p-primary) t.d. G'-module. Consider the embedding of A in the coinduced

G'-module $\mathrm{Coind}_{G'}^1(A)$ (which we denote by $M_{G'}(A)$), defined by $i(a)(x) = x \cdot a$. There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to A \to M_{G'}(A) \to Q \to 0,$$

which yields on cohomology a commutative diagram with exact rows ($k \geq 1$)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\ldots \arrow[r] & H^{k-1}(G',A) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^{k-1}(G',Q) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^k(G',A) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^k(G',M_{G'}(A)) \arrow[d] & = 0 \\
\ldots \arrow[r] & H^{k-1}(G,A) \arrow[r] & H^{k-1}(G,Q) \arrow[r] & H^k(G,A) \arrow[r] & H^k(G,M_{G'}(A)) \arrow[r] & \ldots
\end{tikzcd}$$

Property $[A_n]$ follows then from induction on k and the five-Lemma (observe that if A is a p-primary t.d. G'-module the same is true for $M_{G'}(A)$ and then for Q).

$[B_n] \Leftrightarrow [C_n]$: this immediately follows from Proposition 6.2 and the analogue statement which holds for discrete groups of FP_∞ type.

$[C_n] \Leftrightarrow [D_n]$: one proceeds as above. □

7 Cohomological dimension and duality

Let G be a profinite group. The cohomological dimension of G is defined by

$$\mathrm{cd}(G) = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} |\ H^n(G,A) \neq 0 \text{ for some } A \in (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]\text{-mod})^{t.d.}\}.$$

A compact t.d. G-module A is the direct product of its p-primary components $A_p \cong A \widehat{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}_p$. Therefore, in this case, one has

$$H^n(G,A) \cong \prod_{p \text{ prime}} H^n(G,A_p)$$

and $H^n(G,A_p)$ is a p-primary module. An arbitrary t.d. G-module A is the direct limit of its compact submodules. So, if we define the cohomological p-dimension by

$$\mathrm{cd}_p(G) = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} |\ H^n(G,A) \neq 0 \text{ for some } p\text{-primary t.d. } G\text{-module } A\},$$

one has

$$\mathrm{cd}(G) = \sup\{\mathrm{cd}_p(G) |\ p \text{ prime}\}.$$

Observe that, by Corollary 6.1, one also has

$$\mathrm{cd}(G) = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} |\ H^n(G,A) \neq 0 \text{ for some finite } A \in (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]\text{-mod})^{t.d.}\}.$$

Therefore, the cohomological dimensions defined above coincide with those defined in §3 of [Se]. In particular, all the results stated there hold.

Remark 7.1 Similarly, one defines the homological dimension of G by

$$\mathrm{hd}(G) = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} |\ H_n(G,A) \neq 0 \text{ for some } A \in (\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]\text{-mod})^{t.d.}\}.$$

From Proposition 6.2, it follows that $\mathrm{hd}(G) = \mathrm{cd}(G)$.

Definition 7.1 A profinite group G is called of finite cohomological type If $\mathrm{cd}(G) < \infty$ and $H^n(G, A)$ is finite for every finite t.d. G-module A.

Remark 7.2 By Corollary 6.1, the condition that $H^n(G, A)$ is finite for every finite t.d. G-module A is equivalent to the condition that the cohomology functors $H^i(G, \_)$ preserve compactness (for the homology functors $H_i(G, \_)$, this is true without any special assumption).

All the theory of duality, as developed in §3, 4 of [Se] and §4.4, 4.5 of [S-W], works for t.d. G-modules. By Proposition 17 in §3.5 of [Se] and the following remarks, one has for instance (here we rather follow the formulation given in Proposition 4.34 of [S-W])

Proposition 7.1 *Let G be a profinite group of finite cohomological type with $cd(G) = n$.*

(i) There is a discrete t.d. G-module $I(G)$ and a map $i : H^n(G, I(G)) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that, for a t.d. G-module A and $f \in Hom_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}(A, I(G))$, the assignment

$$T(f) : H^n(G, A) \xrightarrow{f_*} H^n(G, I(G)) \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

defines a natural isomorphism of controvariant functors of t.d. G-modules

$$T : Hom_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}(\_, I(G)) \cong H^n(G, \_)^*.$$

(ii) The pair $(I(G), i)$ is uniquely determined up to isomorphism.

(iii) Let $H \leq G$ be an open subgroup of G, then $I(H) \cong res^G_H(I(G))$.

Proof. For A a torsion t.d. G-module, this is what is proved in §3.5 of [Se]. In general, let A be the inverse limit of a countable tower $\{A_i, \psi_{ij}\}$, with ψ_{ij} surjective for $i \geq j$. By Corollary 6.1, one then has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}(A, I(G)) &\cong \varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}(A_i, I(G)) \cong \\ &\cong \varinjlim_i H^n(G, A_i)^* \cong (\varprojlim_i H^n(G, A_i))^* \cong H^n(G, A)^*. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 7.3 One can restate the conclusions of Proposition 7.1 in the following way (see (4.3.7) and (4.3.8) in [S-W]). Let A be a t.d. G-module, then one has, by Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 3.1, a series of natural isomorphisms

$$H^n(G, A) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]}(I(G)^*, A^*)^* \cong I(G)^* \widehat{\otimes}_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]} A \cong H_0(G, I(G)^* \widehat{\otimes} A).$$

Taking $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$ for A, one then gets a natural isomorphism of t.d. G-modules

$$I(G)^* \cong H^n(G, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])$$

.

Lemma 7.1 *Let F be a t-free G-module such that $H^k(G,F)=0$. Then $H^k(G,P)=0$ for every relatively projective t.d. G-module P.*

Proof. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$ is a direct summand of F, hence $H^k(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])=0$ and then the same holds for all finitely generated t-free $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$-modules. A compact t-free $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$-module M is the inverse limit of a countable tower $\{M_i,\psi_{ij}\}$ of finitely generated t-free $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$-modules with ψ_{ij} surjective for $i>j$. The Pontryagin dual M^* is then the direct limit of a countable nest $\{M_i^*,\psi_{ij}^*\}$ of discrete t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$-modules with ψ_{ij}^* injective for $i>j$. Since, by Proposition 3.2, homology commutes with direct limits, from Proposition 6.2, it follows

$$H^k(G,M)\cong H_k(G,M^*)^*\cong(\varinjlim_i H_k(G,M_i^*))^*\cong(\varinjlim_i H^k(G,M_i)^*)^*=0.$$

Let X be a pro-discrete space homeomorphic to the direct limit of a countable nest $\{X_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of compact subspaces. Let $R:=\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$, then one has $R[[X]]\cong\widehat{\varinjlim}\,R[[X_i]]$. Since, for a compact t.d. R-module C, one also has

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(C,R[[X]])\cong\widehat{\varinjlim}\,\mathrm{Hom}_R(C,R[[X_i]]),$$

it follows that the image of the algebraic direct limit $\varinjlim H^k(G,R[[X_i]])$ is a dense subgroup of $H^k(G,R[[X]])$, hence the latter group is trivial as well.

Let P be an arbitrary relatively projective t.d. G-module. By Corollary 2.1, P is the direct limit of a countable nest of compact submodules $\{P_i\}$. Then, the lemma follows because P is a direct summand of the t-free $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]$-module $\widehat{\varinjlim}\,R[[P_i]]$. □

Thanks to the above lemma, one can characterize the cohomological dimension of a profinite group, like that of a discrete group, in the following way:

Proposition 7.2 *Let G be a profinite group with $cd(G)<\infty$, then*

$$cd(G)=\max\{n\in\mathbb{N}|\,H^n(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])\neq 0\}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathrm{cd}(G)=k$, then the functor $H^k(G,\_)$ is right exact. If $H^k(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])=0$, from Lemma 7.1, it follows that $H^k(G,F)=0$ for any t-free G-module F. Given a t.d. G-module A, there is a surjective map from a t-free G-module F to A. So, one has $H^k(G,A)=0$ for every t.d. G-module A. □

The duality theorem, as stated for discrete groups in [Br] (Theorem 10.1), holds also for profinite groups

Theorem 7.1 *The following conditions are equivalent for a profinite group G of finite cohomological type:*

(i) There is an integer n and a t.d. G-module $D(G)$ such that, for all t.d. G-modules M and all integers i, one has $H^{n-i}(G,M)\cong H_i(G,D(G)\hat{\otimes}M)$.

(ii) *There is an integer* n *such that* $H^i(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]\widehat{\otimes}A)=0$ *for all* $i\neq n$ *and all trivial t.d.* G*-modules* A*.*

(iii) *There is an integer* n *such that* $H^i(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])=0$ *for all* $i\neq n$ *and* $H^n(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])$ *is torsion free.*

(iv) *There is a natural isomorphism of homological functors of t.d.* G*-modules*

$$H^{n-\bullet}(G,\_)\longrightarrow H_\bullet(G,D(G)\widehat{\otimes}\_),$$

where $n=cd(G)$ *and* $D(G)\cong H^n(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])$*.*

(v) *There is a natural isomorphism of cohomological functors of t.d.* G*-modules*

$$H_{n-\bullet}(G,\_)\longrightarrow H^\bullet(G,Hom(D(G),\_)),$$

where $n=cd(G)$ *and* $D(G)\cong H^n(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])$*.*

Proof. $(i)\Rightarrow(ii)$: Apply (i) with $M=\mathrm{Ind}^1_G(A)$, then $D(G)\widehat{\otimes}M\cong\mathrm{Ind}^1_G(D(G)\widehat{\otimes}A)$ and (ii) follows from Shapiro's lemma.

$(ii)\Rightarrow(iii)$: Take $A=\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ in (ii), one has $H^i(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])=0$ for $i\neq n$. Consider then the short exact sequence

$$0\to\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]\xrightarrow{\cdot k}\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]\to\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Z}/k\to 0.$$

The associated long cohomology exact sequence yields

$$H^{n-1}(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Z}/k)\to H^n(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])\xrightarrow{\cdot k}H^n(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]).$$

Since, by (ii), one has $H^{n-1}(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]\widehat{\otimes}\mathbb{Z}/k)=0$, it follows that $H^n(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])$ has no k-torsion.

$(iii)\Rightarrow(iv)$: By Proposition 7.2, the integer n is necessarily equal to $\mathrm{cd}(G)$. Since $\mathrm{cd}(G)=n$, the functors $T_\bullet:=H^{n-\bullet}(G,\_)$ together with their connecting homomorphisms form a homology δ-functor of t.d. G-modules. The hypothesis $H^i(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])=0$ for all $i\neq n$ implies, by Lemma 7.1, that such δ-functor is effaceable and then universal.

Let $D(G):=H^n(G,\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])$. Since it is torsion free and, by Remark 7.2, compact, it is a projective t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module. Therefore, the functor of t.d. G-modules $D(G)\widehat{\otimes}\_$, by Corollary 3.1, preserve exactness and projective objects. Thus, the set of functors $S_\bullet:=H_\bullet(G,D(G)\widehat{\otimes}\_)$, together with their connecting homomorphisms, form an effaceable and then universal homological δ-functor.

By Remark 7.3, one has $T_0\cong S_0$ and then $T_\bullet\cong S_\bullet$.

$(iv)\Leftrightarrow(v)$: By Corollary 3.1, (v) is the Pontryagin dual of (iv).

$(iv)\Rightarrow(i)$: Trivial. □

Definition 7.2 If G satisfies the conditions of Theorem 7.1, then G is said to be a *duality group* of dimension n and the compact t.d. G-module $D(G) = H^n(G, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])$ is called the *dualizing module* of G. Observe that, by Remark 7.3, there is a natural isomorphism of t.d. G-modules $D(G) \cong I(G)^*$. If, in addition, $D(G) \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ as t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-modules, then G is said to be a *Poincaré duality group.* In this case, G is said to be *orientable* if $D(G)$ is trivial as G-module and *non-orientable* otherwise.

Note that, if G is an orientable Poincaré duality group of dimension n, then, for a t.d. G-module A, the isomorphism of (*iv*) takes the familiar form

$$H^{n-\bullet}(G, A) \cong H_\bullet(G, A).$$

For profinite groups, one has an even simpler characterization of duality than in the discrete case:

Proposition 7.3 *Let G be a profinite group with $cd(G) < \infty$. Then, G is a duality group (respectively a Poincaré duality group) of dimension n, if and only if there is an integer n such that $H^i(G, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]) = 0$ for all $i \neq n$ and $H^n(G, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])$ is a torsion free compact t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module (respectively $H^n(G, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]) \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$).*

Proof. The only if part has been proved in Theorem 7.1. For the other direction, one has just to prove that G has finite cohomological type, i.e. that the functor $H^{n-i}(G, \_)$ preserves compactness. By Proposition 7.2, one has $\mathrm{cd}(G) = n$ and then the functor $H^n(G, \_)$ is right exact. The fact that $H^n(G, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])$ is compact implies that $H^n(G, F)$ is compact for any compact t-free G-module F (see the proof of Lemma 7.1). A compact t.d. G-module A has a compact t-free cover $F \twoheadrightarrow A$, so $H^n(G, A)$ is compact. Since the functor $H^{n-i}(G, \_)$ vanishes for $i > 0$ on t-free G-modules, the claim follows by induction on i and from the long exact cohomology sequence associated to $F \twoheadrightarrow A$. ☐

The property of being a duality group is invariant passing to open subgroups.

Proposition 7.4 *Let G be a profinite group with $cd(G) = n$ and H an open subgroup of G. Then G is a duality group (respectively a Poincaré duality group) if and only if H is a duality group (respectively a Poincaré duality group).*

Proof. The proposition follows from Proposition 14 in [Se] and Remark 6.3. ☐

Non-trivial examples of duality groups will be provided by the following proposition:

Proposition 7.5 *Let G be a discrete group of FP_∞ type which is good (see Definition 6.2). If G is a duality group (respectively a Poincaré duality group) of dimension n, then the profinite completion $\hat{G}$ is a duality group (respectively a Poincaré duality group) of the same dimension.*

Proof. Let $F_\bullet \to \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a free $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[G]$-module resolution of finite type of the trivial G-module $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. According to Lemma 1.1 in [B], the $\hat{G}$-completion $\hat{F}_\bullet \to \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ of such resolution is a free $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\hat{G}]]$-module resolution of finite type of the trivial $\hat{G}$-module $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. The cohomology of $\hat{G}$ with coefficients in $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\hat{G}]]$ is given by the cohomology of the cochain complex $\mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{G}}(\hat{F}_\bullet, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\hat{G}]])$, which is the $\hat{G}$-completion of the cochain complex $\mathrm{Hom}_G(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[G], \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[G])$, whose cohomology is the cohomology of G with coefficients in $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[G]$. Therefore, the cohomology group $H^i(\hat{G}, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[\hat{G}]])$ is the $\hat{G}$-completion of the cohomology group $H^i(G, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[G])$.

The proposition now follows from the standard characterization of discrete duality groups and the criterion given in Proposition 7.3 for profinite groups. □

The results of [B] and Proposition 7.5 now provide lot of examples of profinite duality groups.

The simplest are profinite completions of finitely generated free groups and torsion free abelian groups of rank k, which are orientable Poincaré duality groups of dimension 1 and k, respectively. The profinite completion $\hat{\pi}_g$ of the fundamental group π_g of a compact orientable Riemann surface of genus g instead is an orientable Poincaré duality group of dimension 2.

According to Theorem 3.2 in [B], for $2g-2+n > 0$, the Teichmüller group $\Gamma_{g,n}$ is good. On the other hand, a classical result (see [Iv]) asserts that $\Gamma_{g,n}$ is a *virtual* duality group, i.e. it contains a subgroup of finite index which is a duality group. More precisely, for $g = 0$, the Teichmüller group $\Gamma_{0,n}$ is a duality group of dimension $n-3$. The Teichmüller group $\Gamma_{g,n}$ is instead a virtual duality group of dimension $4g-5$, for $g \geq 2$ and $n = 0$, and of dimension $4g-4+n$, for g and $n \geq 1$. By Proposition 7.5, the same statements then hold for its profinite completion $\hat{\Gamma}_{g,n}$.

Proposition 7.6 *Let N be a closed normal subgroup of a profinite group G. If N and G/N are duality groups (respectively Poincaré duality groups), then G is a duality group (respectively a Poincaré duality group) of dimension $cd(N) + cd(G/N)$ and there is an isomorphism of t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-modules $D(G) \cong D(G/N) \hat{\otimes} D(N)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 7.3 and Proposition 15 in [Se], it is enough to show that the t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module $H^i(G, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])$ is zero for $i \neq \mathrm{cd}(N) + \mathrm{cd}(G/N)$ and compact torsion free for $i = \mathrm{cd}(N) + \mathrm{cd}(G/N)$. By Theorem 6.1, there is a spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq} = H^p(G/N, H^q(N, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(G, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]).$$

There is a natural isomorphism of t.d. N-modules $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]] \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[N]] \hat{\otimes} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G/N]]$ and then of t.d. G/N-modules $H^q(N, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]) \cong H^q(N, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[N]] \hat{\otimes} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G/N]])$, with the obviuos actions.

Since $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G/N]]$ is a t-free t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module, proceeding like in the proof of $(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$ of Theorem 7.1, one can show that there is an isomorphism of universal cohomological δ-functors from t.d. N-modules to t.d. G/N-modules

$$H^\bullet(N, \_\hat{\otimes} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G/N]]) \cong H^\bullet(N, \_) \hat{\otimes} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G/N]].$$

Let $h = \mathrm{cd}(N)$. Since, by hypothesis, $H^h(N, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[N]])$ is torsion free and compact, it is a projective t.d. $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module. Therefore, there is also an isomorphism of universal cohomological δ-functors

$$H^\bullet(G/N, H^h(N, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[N]])\widehat{\otimes}\_) \cong H^h(N, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[N]])\widehat{\otimes}H^\bullet(G/N, \_)$$

and, eventually, one gets the isomorphism:

$$H^p(G/N, H^q(N, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])) \cong H^p(G/N, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G/N]])\widehat{\otimes}H^q(N, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[N]]).$$

Therefore, $H^p(G/N, H^q(N, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]])) \neq 0$ only for $p = \mathrm{cd}(G/N)$ and $q = \mathrm{cd}(N)$.

Thus, one has that $H^i(G, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]))$ vanishes for $i \neq \mathrm{cd}(N) + \mathrm{cd}(G/N)$ and that

$$H^{\mathrm{cd}(N)+\mathrm{cd}(G/N)}(G, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G]]) \cong H^{\mathrm{cd}(G/N)}(G/N, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[G/N]])\widehat{\otimes}H^{\mathrm{cd}(N)}(N, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}[[N]])$$

is torsion free and compact (isomorphic to $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ if both N and G/N are Poincaré duality groups). The proposition then follows from Proposition 7.3. ☐

Remark 7.4 Let us observe that all the above theory of duality may be specialized at a particular prime p, thus recovering and generalizing the results of §4 in [S-W].

References

[A-G-M] V.I. Arnautov, S.T. Glavatsky, A.V. Mikhalev. *Introduction to the theory of topological rings and modules.* Marcel Dekker, Inc., monograph and textbooks in pure and applied mathematics n. 197 (1996).

[B] M. Boggi. *The Teichmüller group is good.* ArXiv: math.AG/0306379 (2003).

[Br] K.S. Brown. *Cohomology of groups.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **87**, Springer-Verlag (1982).

[Go] R. Godement. *Théorie des faisceaux.* Publ. de l'Institut de Math. de l'Univ. de Strasbourg XIII, Hermann Paris (1973).

[Hu] S.T. Hu. *Elements of General Topology.* Holden-Day series in Mathematics (1964).

[Iv] N. V. Ivanov. *Complexes of curves and the Teichmüller modular group.* Russian Math. Surveys, vol. 42, n. **3** (1987), 55-107.

[Ke] J.L. Kelley. *General Topology.* Graduate texts in Mathematics, **27**, Springer-Verlag (1975).

[M] C.C. Moore. *Restrictions of unitary representations to subgroups and ergodic theory: group extensions and group cohomology.* In *Group representations in mathematics and physics*, Batelle Seattle 1969 rencontres, Springer Lecture Notes in Physics **6** (1970), 1-35.

[R–Z] L. Ribes, P. Zalesskii. *Profinite groups*. Erg. der Math. und ihrer Grenz. 3. Folge **40**, Springer-Verlag (2000).

[Se] J.P. Serre. *Cohomologie galoisienne*. Springer-Verlag, Lectures Notes in Mathematics n.**5**.

[S-W] P. Symonds, T. Weigel. *Cohomology of p-adic Analytic Groups*. In *New horizonz in pro-p groups*. M. du Sautoy, D. Segal, A. Shalev, editors. Birkhäuser Progress in Mathematics, **184** (2000), 349-410.

[We] C.A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*. Cambridge University press, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics n. **38** (1993).

[Wi] J.S. Wilson. *Profinite Groups*. Oxford University Press, LMSM n.**19** (1998).